

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,814		06/11/2001	David W. Pratt	1053.18	6882
21901	7590	10/21/2002			
SMITH & I			EXAMINER		
15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220				CHERRY, JOHNNY DAN	
CLEARWATER, FL 33760				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3652	· <u> </u>
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

	68/8)4 Pratt					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 36-52					
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE DATE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
☐ This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (
Disposition of Claims						
$\sqrt{Claim(s)} - 12$	is/are pending in the application.					
<i>'</i>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
□ Claim(s)						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claim(s) / -/2	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers	requirement.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	eview, PTO-948.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under large l	priority documents have been					
□ received in this national stage application from the Intern						
*Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s						
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-\$23 (Rox. 9-97)

Part of Paper No._

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/681,814

Art Unit: 3652

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/681,814

* Art Unit: 3652

Cherry/kl September 26, 2002

703-308-1113

703-308-0605

JOURNAY D. CHERRY FREMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 842 3652